

REMARKS

Claims 1-32 are pending in the application and currently stand rejected. Entry of the present amendment and further examination of the present application in view of the following remarks is hereby requested.

Interview

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the courtesy extended in the telephonic interview conducted on November 29, 2011. During the interview, the Examiner agreed to reconsider the §103 rejection in view of the remarks and amendments submitted herein.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. §103

Claims 1-30 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 5,987,508, hereinafter "Agraharam," US 2001/0039592, hereinafter "Carden," and US 6,788,769, hereinafter "Waites." Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of this ground of rejection.

Applicants submit that Agraharam fails to teach or suggest at least “in response to receiving a request for a user-specific website from a website...effect a claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the at least one phone number by the phone number detection device” and “in response to receiving the request by the phone call receiving device, effect the claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the at least one phone number by the phone number detection device and confirmation of the request at the website” as recited in amended claim 1.

Agraharam is generally directed to providing e-mail connectivity on a telephone network (see Agraharam, Abstract). Agraharam, at column 6, lines 45-48 (cited by the Examiner in the Office Action), states that “[t]he IVR system detects the phone number of the calling party ... and verifies that the telephone number and the PIN match, and then activates the alias mechanism.” Agraharam thus discloses verifying a request for email aliasing using a PIN. However, Agraharam does not teach “in response to receiving a request for a user-specific website from a website...effect a claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the

at least one phone number by the phone number detection device” and “in response to receiving the request by the phone call receiving device, effect the claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the at least one phone number by the phone number detection device and confirmation of the request at the website” as recited in claim 1.

Carden does not cure the above-noted deficiencies of Agraharam. Carden (see Abstract and paragraph 20) merely discloses assigning a web address that includes a telephone number in the actual web address. Verification can also be performed:

48. This information may be used to verify that the applicant is the person or entity to whom the issuing phone company has assigned the selected phone number. Other methods for verifying that a phone number is registered only to the actual owner of that phone number may also be envisioned. Presently contemplated methods for ensuring that only the proper entity registers the phone number belonging to that entity include verifying ownership via a return phone call to the telephone number, providing a password to the number sought to be registered, restricting registration of a phone number to applicants who are actually accessing the server via the telephone line associated with the phone number for which registration is sought, or an e-mail communication or some other verifiable communication.

However, Carden does not disclose “in response to receiving a request for a user-specific website from a website...effect a claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the at least one phone number by the phone number detection device” and “in response to receiving the request by the phone call receiving device, effect the claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the at least one phone number by the phone number detection device and confirmation of the request at the website” as recited in amended claim 1.

Waits does not cure the above-noted deficiencies of Agraharam and Carden. Waits describes signing up for a service using a phone number:

As shown, in step **202** the user of computer **112A** or telephony device **132** who desires an email address and/or web page host may contact the server **102** and sign up for a service. The user may provide his home telephone number, including area code and local telephone number. In one embodiment where the telephony device **132** is used for this purpose, the user's telephone **132** may provide caller ID information to the server **102**, and the server **102** may receive and use the caller ID information to obtain one or more of the user's name and telephone number. The user may provide other information to the server **102**, such as names of family members, etc.

However, Waits does not disclose "in response to receiving a request for a user-specific website from a website...effect a claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the at least one phone number by the phone number detection device" and "in response to receiving the request by the phone call receiving device, effect the claim to the user-specific website based on verification of the at least one phone number by the phone number detection device and confirmation of the request at the website" as recited in amended claim 1. Therefore, Agrapharam, Carden, and Waits, separately or in combination, fail to teach or suggest at least the aforementioned features of amended claim 1. Withdrawal of the rejection of claim 1, and claims 2-7 which depend on claim 1, is respectfully requested.

Each of independent claims 8, 15, 20, 25, and 29 recite similar features as claim 1. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of claims 8, 15, 20, 25, and 29, and claims 9-14, 16-19, 21-24, 26-28, and 30-32, which depend on independent claims 8, 15, 20, 25, and 29, is respectfully requested.

DOCKET NO.: **FC-0006

PATENT

Application No.: 09/728,107

Office Action Dated: September 21, 2011

CONCLUSION

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of all pending claims. Should the examiner have any further suggestions for expediting the prosecution of the presently pending claims, the undersigned respectfully asks the examiner contact him at 206-903-2474.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 16, 2011

/Han Gim/

Han Gim

Registration No. 62,953

Woodcock Washburn LLP
Cira Centre
2929 Arch Street, 12th Floor
Philadelphia, PA 19104-2891
Telephone: (215) 568-3100
Facsimile: (215) 568-3439